	Case 3:04-cv-05134-FDB Docume	nt 176 Filed 08/29/07 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
10		
11	SERGEY SPITSYN,	Case No. C04-5134FDB-KLS
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
13	V.	MOTION TO CORRECT DOCKET
14	RICHARD MORGAN, et al,	DOCKET
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	This matter has been referred to Magistrate Judge Karen L. Strombom pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §	
18	636(b)(1), Local Magistrates Rules MJR 3 and 4, and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure ("Fed. R. Civ. P.")	
19	72. The case is before the Court on plaintiff's filing of a motion to correct docket. (Dkt. #173). After	
20	reviewing plaintiff's motion and the balance of the record, the Court finds and orders as follows:	
21	In his motion, plaintiff asks the Court to enter on its record a notation that defendant D. Snively's	
22	legal name is Dave Snell. In support of his request, plaintiff states that defendant Snively has admitted	
23	that Snell is his legal last name, and that he refer to defendant Snively as defendant Snell from this point	
24	forward. Plaintiff, of course, is free to refer to defendant Snively, or any other defendant for that matter,	
25	in whatever manner he chooses in his filings, as long as such referral does not cause unnecessary	
26	confusion or violate the provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 11.	
27	The Court, however, finds no reason to make any such requested notation on its docket. First, it	
28	must be noted that plaintiff has not provided the Court with any evidence, other than is own assertion, that	

ORDER Page - 1

Case 3:04-cv-05134-FDB Document 176 Filed 08/29/07 Page 2 of 2

defendant Snively's last name is not in fact his actual name. Further, if plaintiff really wishes to change all references to defendant Snively to defendant Snell, he will need to amend his complaint to reflect the desired change in name. This, in turn, requires at this stage of the proceedings, either the written consent of defendants or a properly filed and supported motion to do so, although in so stating, the Court hereby is not implying such motion necessarily shall be granted. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a).

Accordingly, plaintiff's motion to correct docket (Dkt. #173) hereby is DENIED.

The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to plaintiff and counsel for defendants.

DATED this 29th day of August, 2007.

Karen L. Strombom

United States Magistrate Judge